



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,342	11/10/2003	Marcus C. Minges	M2341/53410/NWJ-LIFT	5152
23378	7590 04/05/2006	EXAMINER		
BRADLEY ARANT ROSE & WHITE, LLP			JULES, FRANTZ F	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT-NWJ 1819 FIFTH AVENUE NORTH			PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGH	AM, AL 35203-2104	3617		

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/705,342	MINGES, MARCUS C.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frantz F. Jules	3617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 January 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 4 and 6-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakatani et al (US 5,476,155).

Nakatani et al disclose a rail mounted transportation system comprising a rail (6), and a support body (20 or 1), said support body comprising an upper wheel (32), a lower wheel (25 or 22) separated from the upper wheel by the rail, and a load bearing section (1) wherein the rail has a first inclined portion (A) and a less inclined portion (B) see attached marked-up, in which the first inclined portion (A) has a steeper slope than the less inclined portion, and wherein further the rail is thicker in vertical measurement at the first inclined portion than it is at the less inclined portion as seen in figs 1-2, 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakatani et al (US 5,476,155) in view of Cheney (US 2,507,887).

Nakatani et al teach all the limitations of claims 7-9 except for a rail mounted transportation system comprising a load bearing section being close to the upper wheel or over a downslope of side of the rail. The general concept of providing a load bearing section being close to the upper wheel or over a downslope of side of the rail in a rail mounted transportation system is well known in the art as illustrated by Cheney which disclose the teaching of a load bearing section (12, 13) being close to the upper wheel or over a downslope of side of the rail in a rail mounted transportation system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nakatani et al to include the use of a load bearing section being close to the upper wheel or over a downslope of side of the rail in his advantageous rail mounted transportation system as taught by Cheney in order to achieve an improve load elevator with reduced load on the bearings when going over a curve thereby reducing wear.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4 stand allowable.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 01/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection and of the allowance of claim 4.

Applicant's newly added claims 6-10 comprising the limitations of "a rail with a thicker first inclined portion and a second inclined portion such that the first inclined portion has a steeper slope that the second inclined portion" are fully met by Nakatani et al and cause the new ground of rejections.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Nakatani et al'705 aer cited to show related a rail with a thicker first inclined portion and a second inclined portion.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (571) 272-6681. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz F. Jules Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

FFJ

March 31, 2005

FRANTZ F. JULES
PRIMARY EXAMINER



